

Industry Forum Airborne Contaminants and Health Surveillance



Industry Forum Agenda



1	Session 1 – Standing Committee on Airborne Contaminants and Occupational Hygiene
2	
	informing rea
3	Session 2 – Coal Services Health
4	Panel Discussion
5	Lunch

Agenda – Session 1



1	Standing Dust Committee Overview
2	Resources Regulator Update
3	2023 Order 42 airborne dust results and trends
4	Diesel particulate matter and weld fume results and trends
5	2023 example exceedances and learnings
6	Control considerations and learnings



Standing Committee on Airborne Contaminants and Occupational Hygiene

(Standing Dust Committee)

Standing Dust Committee origins and overview





Standing Committee on Airborne Contaminants and Occupational Hygiene

Current industry representatives

Chair:

Deputy Chair:

Secretary:

NSW Resources Regulator:

NSW Minerals Council:

Mining and Energy Union:

Mine Managers Association Australia: Independents:

Coal Services (Hygiene):

Coal Services (Health):

Coal Services (Technical):

Lucas Boyne Scott McNally Ricki Hainzer

Anthony Margetts, Karen Tripp, vacant Frank Fulham, James Barben Steve Barrett, Tony Watson Greg Shields, Roger Biddle Rob Regan, Peter Knott Dr Kerrie Burton Dr David Meredith Alaster Wylie



Standing Committee on Airborne Contaminants and Occupational Hygiene











Resources Regulator Department of Regional NSW



Airborne Contaminants Update

Standing Dust Committee Forum

Karen Tripp Senior Mine Safety Officer – Occupational Hygiene

June 2024





Airborne Contaminants Update

Section 1

SWA Workplace Exposure Standard amendment

New AS/NZS 1715 Ruling regarding facial hair

New Fit Testing Standard AS/NZS ISO 16975.3:2023

Facial Hair and Respiratory Protective Equipment Fact Sheet

Section 2

Welding Fume & Silica Exposure Standard Reductions

Amendments to Model WHS Regulations from Sept 2024

New Exposure Limits for Dec 2026

Technical Reference Guides







Respiratory Protective Equipment, Facial Hair, and Fit-Testing



SWA Workplace Exposure Standard revision – January 2024

A revised version of the SWA Workplace Exposure Standards for Airborne Contaminants was published in January 2024

The following statement was included in section 2.5 as guidance to the use of respiratory protective equipment (RPE) and compliance with the WES:

Regulation 49 of the WHS Regulations requires a PCBU ensure that no person at the workplace is exposed to a substance or mixture in an airborne concentration that exceeds the exposure standard for the substance or mixture. The protection provided by respiratory protective equipment (RPE) worn by a person can be taken into account when determining compliance with regulation 49, provided all reasonably practicable higher order controls in <u>the hierarchy of controls</u> have been implemented, and that the RPE is worn correctly.

In Summary:

- A PCBU must ensure a worker is not exposed to airborne contaminant levels greater than the WES.
- Respiratory protection CAN be taken into account when determining compliance with exposure standards, IF:
 - The RPE is worn correctly, AND
 - All reasonably practicable higher order controls in the hierarchy of controls have been implemented.

Regulator position – any measured exceedance must still be reported.





New AS/NZS 1715 Ruling regarding facial hair

Standards Australia have released a ruling document in relation to AS/NZS 1715:2009 Selection, use and maintenance of respiratory protective equipment. This ruling provides further clarification around the requirements for tight-fitting respirators and facial hair.

The ruling states:

- Facial hair can interfere with the sealing surface area for all tight-fitting respiratory protective equipment, including tight fitting PAPR.
- Facial hair will prevent a good seal.
- Individuals with facial hair between the respirator sealing surface and the skin should not wear a respirator which requires a facial seal.
- No one who requires respiratory protection shall wear a full or half facepiece respirators over a beard (including tight-fitting PAPR).
- There are no exemptions in AS/NZS 1715:2009 to allow for facial hair within the sealing area of the facemask.
- Fit-testing of tight-fitting RPE must be done under negative pressure.





New AS/NZS 1715 Ruling regarding facial hair

Tight-Fitting vs Loose Fitting PAPR



Loose-Fitting PAPR



Fit-testing not required

Requires fit-testing at negative pressure (i.e. in non- operational mode)



New Fit-Testing Standard

Standards Australia have adopted the international standard for respiratory fit testing (AS/NZS ISO 16975.3:2023)

The new standard includes:

- Guidance on conducting fit-testing on tight-fitting respirators and PAPR.
- Fit-testing accreditation competencies, procedures, interpretation of results and record keeping.



www.respfit.org.au

RESP-FIT is a national RPE fit-testing training and accreditation program to ensure the competency of fit testers against the new AS/NZS ISO standard.

Comprehensive list of fit-testing service providers and training providers.



Fact Sheet – Facial Hair and RPE

The Regular has recently published a Fact Sheet explaining the requirements for respiratory protective equipment with regard to facial hair.

The Fact Sheet details:

- PCBU Risk control requirements
- Facial fit of respirators
- Facial hair and adequate performance of RPE
- Workers not able/refusing to remove facial hair
- Relevant legislation and standards

Available on the RR website.



Resources Regulator Department of Regional NSW



Fact sheet

Facial hair and respiratory protective equipment

March 2024

Purpose

This document provides mine operators with information about workers with facial hair and the requirement to wear respiratory protective equipment to control the risk of exposure to airborne contaminants in the workplace.

Risk control requirements

In accordance with Part 3.1 of the Work Health and Safety Regulations (2017), a person conducting a business or undertaking at a mine or petroleum site must eliminate or minimise the risk to health and safety so far as reasonably practicable. The hierarchy of control must be implemented to minimise identified risks to health and safety associated with operations at the mine or petroleum site.





If a risk remains, the WHS Regulation (2017) places duties on the person conducting a business or undertaking to minimise the remaining risks to health and safety so far is reasonably practicable by:

- implementing administrative controls
- ii. by ensuring the provision and use of suitable PPE.

The use of respiratory protective equipment must only be considered if all reasonably practicable higher order controls have been considered and implemented where applicable.





Workplace Exposure Standard Reductions & Model WHS Reg Updates



Welding Fume WES Reduction

SWA announced the reduction of the WES for Welding Fume in January 2024

- Workplace Exposure Standard reduced from 5 mg/m³ to 1 mg/m³ for welding fume not otherwise classified.
- No transition period provided by SWA.
- RR will take and educational and informative approach to help PCBU with compliance.
- PCBU's should revise their risk management process for welding activities.





Proposed Silica WES Reduction

SWA announced the potential further reduction of the WES for respirable crystalline silica

- Workplace Exposure Standard is currently 0.05 mg/m³
- Recommendations made for a further reduction to 0.025 mg/m³ (with a 3-year transition period)
- No date for proposed reduction at present
- Reduction will need to consider the ability of current measurement technologies in terms of limit of detection and measurement uncertainty.
- Ban on use of engineered stone due to come into effect on 1 July 2024.







Model WHS Regulation Update

An amendment to the WHS Regulations will be implemented on 1 September 2024.

- Crystalline Silica Substances (CSS) will be defined as any material containing at least 1% crystalline silica.
- New duty for the "processing" of CSS to be controlled. The term processing includes use of roadheaders, quarrying and mechanical screening.
- The process of 'control' aligns with the WHS (MPS) Regs requirement for an Air Quality PHMP, Risk Assessment and use of Hierarchy of Control.
- Duty to provide '*approved*' training for workers performing high risk CSS work.
- Use of Respiratory Protective Equipment as a 'control' must comply with the respiratory protective device standards AS/NZS 1716 and AS/NZS 1715, which essentially means.....







AS/NZS 1715:2009 Rul 1:2023

Ruling to AS/NZS 1715:2009 Selection, use and maintenance of respiratory protective equipment



WES's changing to WEL's

In April 2024 SWA released the revised version of the Workplace Exposure Limits (WEL's), which will replace the current Workplace Exposure Standards.

- New Workplace Exposure Limits will be adopted 1st December 2026.
- Guidance in relation to the transition will be published by SWA.
- Additional impact analysis occurring for a selection of 9 chemicals / substances before the WEL is updated (including silica).
- Still determining how to regulate 33 airborne contaminants that are nonthreshold genotoxic carcinogens (NTGS's), that do not have a safe exposure limit.
- Any exposure limits prescribed in the WHS (MPS) Regulations will remain in place. This includes DPM and Carbon Dioxide gas limits.

Workplace exposure limits for airborne contaminants







Technical Reference Guides

Monitoring and Control of Worker Exposure to Airborne Contaminants

For Coal and Non-Coal, Underground and Large Surface mines

Adopts the same approach as Qld RSHQ recognised standards.

The document provides technical guidance on

- Personal exposure monitoring sampling types (baseline, periodic, real time), establishing SEG's, statistical analysis and data review. Estimating exposure of SEG's and reporting of data.
- Control of worker exposure control examples provided for specific activities and areas of mining; in addition to inspection and validation of controls.



		GOVERNMENT
	Resources Regulator Department of Regional NEW Date Resources Regulator Department of Regional NEW	
	Technical reference guide Monitoring and control of worker exposure to airbo contaminants All coal mines, non-coal underground mines and large surface mines May 2024	orne
Elari	anal estas and reary	

Technical Reference Guides

Management of Diesel Engine Pollutants in Underground Environments – TRG29

For Coal and Non-Coal Underground mines

Revised version of the old MDG29

The document provides technical guidance on good industry practice for mitigating and minimising the risks associated with the pollutants emitted by diesel engines in underground mines.

- Management of risk and control of diesel pollutants.
- Vehicle testing requirements, methodology, equipment and standards.
- Personal exposure monitoring and methodology for DPM, noise, vibration and heat generated by diesel plant equipment.



		GOVERNME
	CONSULTATION DRAFT	
	Resources Regulator Department of Regional NSW	
	DONSULTATION DRAFT	
	TECHNICAL REFERENCE GUIDE 20	
	MANAGEMENT OF DIESEL ENGINE POLLUTANT UNDERGROUND ENVIRONMENTS	S IN
	May 2024	
regio	ional_nsw.gov.au/mog	
		-







2023 Order 42 Airborne dust monitoring results and weld fume / DPM data trends

Presentation Outline



1	Order 42 / Schedule 6 monitoring
2	2023 Order 42 monitoring results summary
3	Weld Fume and DPM – impact of WES Reduction and revised WEL
4	Key trends

Order 42 / Schedule 6 Airborne Dust Monitoring



Monitoring type

- Respirable dust
- Respirable crystalline silica (RCS) (quartz)
- Inhalable dust

Monitoring locations

- Longwall
- Continuous miner
- Cement / outbye
- Open cut
- CHPP

Monitoring frequency

- Number of shifts
- Freq period (6-12 months)

Respirable and Inhalable Dust Particle Size





Image source: United States Environmental Protection Agency https://www.epa.gov/pm-pollution/particulate-matter-pm-basics

Respirable Dust is <5-10µm

Inhalable Dust is <100µm

Order 42 Airborne Dust Monitoring **Exceedance Trends**



Respirable Coal Dust WES reduced to 1.5mg/m³ 1 Feb 2021



Respirable Dust
RCS (Quartz)

5 Year Average Respirable Dust Exposure





● 2019 ● 2020 ● 2021 ● 2022 ● 2023 ● RCD WES (1.5) ● RCD (1.0) ● RCD WES Action Limit (0.75) ● RCD (0.5)

5 Year Average Respirable Quartz Exposure



Coal Services

CS

● 2019 ● 2020 ● 2021 ● 2022 ● 2023 ● RCS WES (0.05) ● RCS WES (0.025)

5 Year Average Inhalable Dust Exposure



Coal Services

CS

● 2019 ● 2020 ● 2021 ● 2022 ● 2023 ● Inhalable Dust WES (10) ● InhD Action Limit (5) ● InhD 2.5 ● InhD 1.25

Average NSW Coal Mine DPM (EC) Exposure Coal Services 2008-2023





DPM: 2022 - 2023 Coal Services Exceedance Results Comparison Revised Workplace Exposure Limit (SafeWork Australia)



	Worker Exceedances	Underground Worker Exceedance Rate	Surface Worker Exceedance Rate
Current WES 0.1mg/m ³	37	4.4%	0.0%
SafeWork WEL 0.01mg/m ³ From 1 December 2026	466	55.3%	1.0%

Weld Fume: 2022 and 2023 Coal Services Exceedance Results Comparison Revised Workplace Exposure Standard (SafeWork Australia)



	Worker Exceedances	Worker Exceedance Rate	
Previous WES 5.0mg/m ³	32	23%	
Revised WES 1.0mg/m ³ From 18 January 2024	95	68%	

2023 Monitoring Data – Key Trends



Reductions in

- Respirable crystalline silica and inhalable dust exceedances (respirable dust exceedance numbers remain low)
- DPM average exposures (measured as elemental carbon)

Increases in

- Respirable Crystalline Silica exposure levels and exceedance rates for surface drillers and blast crew
- Anticipated number of weld fume exceedances against revised WES, if additional controls not implemented



Coal Services

Example Exceedances
2023 Order 42 Underground Dust Monitoring Exceedance Contributing Factors





Order 42 UG Exceedances – Example 1 Longwall Respirable Quartz Exceedances



Test information

Longwall Operator completing face mapping, maingate and bootend tasks exceeded the respirable quartz WES with a result of 0.06mg/m³

Exceedance contributing factors

- Task rotation procedure not followed
- Operator positioning procedure not followed

Order 42 UG Exceedances – Example 1 Longwall Respirable Quartz Exceedances



Site actions

- Standardise face mapping method to alternate shears for every crew
- Amend and update procedures and worker training packages
- Design and trial proximity tag duration trigger (time on face) to display on Citec

Exceedance re-sample outcome

- Resample completed 2 face mappers 0.03mg/m³
- Similar production task rotation 6 shears each

Operator Positions – LW Proximity Tag data





2023 Order 42 Surface Dust Monitoring Exceedance Contributing Factors





Order 42 OC Exceedances – Example 1 Blast Hole Driller Respirable Quartz Exceedance

Test Information

A blast hole driller assisting in changing deck rubbers on a drill rig recorded a respirable quartz result of 0.07mg/m³.

Exceedance Contributing Factors

- Dust settled on equipment becoming airborne during rubber removal
- Dry cleaning of cab (Dustpan and brush)





Order 42 OC Exceedance – Example 1 Blast Hole Driller Respirable Quartz Exceedance

Site Actions

- Vacuum cleaner in cab
- Create a SWP for changing out deck rubbers which includes dust reduction measures.
- Investigate installing a water tank with a pressurised hose on the drill
- Communication with workers to wash down the drill deck with a water cart as required.

Exceedance Re-sample Outcome

- Additional controls observed
- Resample recorded Respirable Quartz result of 0.01mg/m³



Order 42 Exceedances – Example 2 Blast Crew Respirable Quartz Exceedance

Test Information

3 shot firers loading shot and off siding stemming truck all elevated RCS including exceedance of 0.05mg/m³ against shift adjusted WES 0.04mg/m³

Exceedance Contributing Factors

- Hot/dry weather conditions
- Less than adequate dust suppression for conditions
- On-bench assessment assumed water suppression was not required





Order 42 Exceedances – Example 2 Blast Crew Respirable Quartz Exceedances



Site Actions

- Develop a visual indicator of on-bench dust conditions that require additional dust controls
- Conduct real-time dust monitoring and video capture
- Workforce education sessions

Exceedance Re-sample Outcome

 Cooler conditions - loading shot operator recorded Respirable Quartz result of 0.02mg/m³



Coal Services

Control Initiatives and Learnings

Airborne Dust Control Respirable Crystalline Silica / Stone Focus in TARPS

- Increase in the use of airborne dust TARPs
- Have a plan for changing RCS (Quartz) Risk
- Ongoing review of RCS % in geology / worked material
- Use exposure data to inform thresholds
- Determine task rotation options to manage high risk tasks





Airborne Dust Control Task rotation



Real time dust monitoring



Time based vs. production based



Investment in training



Collect sufficient data

Proximity detection technology



Compliance review / audit



Airborne Dust Control Respiratory Protective Equipment (RPE)



AS 1715 Respiratory Protective Equipment (RPE) Program

- 1. Appoint Program Administrator
- 2. Selection of RPE
- 3. RPE Training
- 4. Issue of RPE
- 5. Fit Testing

6. Wearing of RPE

- 7. Maintenance
- 8. Record Keeping
- 9. Program Evaluation

Selection of RPE (2023)

- P2 Disposable most common RPE used
- Reduction in the use of PAPR

Wearing of RPE (2023)

- 33% of surface workers and 95% of underground workers who exceeded WES were wearing RPE
- **36%** of surface workers **58%** of underground workers monitored were clean shaven

Diesel Emissions Exposure









Images: BREATHEFREELY Australia

Welding Fume Exposure Control





Welding Fume Exposure Control





Dust Control References and Resources

Coal Services CS

Hygiene & Lab Services

Standing Dust Committee

Representation

Publications and bulletins

Dust Control References and Resources

Welding Fume

Standing Dust Committee Forums



How can we help?

A vital part of our role as a Specialised Health and Safety Scheme involves assisting employers and workers to comply with relevant workplace laws and regulations. Contact our team for more information.



ENQUIRE

If you are looking for a specific part of the Coal Services organisation, or you're not sure who to contact, get in touch with our team.

General

Water Application and Sprays	+
Conveyor belts and transfers	+
Respiratory Protective Equipment	+

Underground Mining

Underground Longwall	+
Underground Continuous Miner	+

Surface Mining

Mobile Equipment Cabs	+
Drilling and Shot Firing	+
Coal Handling and Processing	+
Maintenance	+

www.coalservices.com.au



Coal Services Health

What we'll cover in this session:



1	Year in review	6	Order 43 Review
2	Health Promotion	7	Managing Lung Disease in the NSW Coal Industry
3	Strengthening Governance Arrangements	8	Dust Disease Claims - CMI
4	MSAC Review of NSW Health Surveillance Scheme	9	Panel Discussion
5	Standing Health Committee	10	Session Close



Year in Review

CS Health medical service provision NSW Coal Mine Workers (CY 2023)



CS Health	16 Doctors	11,253 Order 43 Medical Assessments	6,570 Medical Reviews	2,550 Other Medical Assessments	12,408 EDN Medicals Processed
External Doctor Network (EDN)	64 Doctors	12,408 Order 43 Medical Assessments			
Investigations	140 workers	195 (males)	16 (females)	19 workers	
	Further respiratory investigations	Significa Conc	nt Medical ditions	Cardiovascular Risk	
Call Centre	37,584				

Calls received



Promoting Coal Mine Worker Health and Wellbeing



University of Newcastle weight loss trial Volunteers needed!





87% of the NSW coal mining workforce is overweight or obese.

- Weight loss trial funded by Coal Services Health & Safety Trust
- Free for workers to participate
- Recruiting volunteers until 31 July 2024





Strengthening Governance Arrangements

Clinical Governance Committee







NSW Mine Safety Advisory Council (MSAC)

Review of the NSW Health Surveillance Scheme for Coal Mine Workers

NSW Mine Safety Advisory Council (MSAC)



- MSAC is a tripartite forum made up of employers, unions and government.
- Provides advice to the Minister on strategic health and safety issues affecting mining industry.
- MSAC is appointed and authorized by the Minister.
- Full report: Review of the NSW Health Surveillance Scheme for Coal Mine
 Workers can be found of the Resources Regulator website. Link below.

Review of the NSW health surveillance scheme for coal mine workers

MSAC Review of the NSW Health Surveillance Scheme for Coal Mine Workers





University of Illinois (Chicago) issues final review report / 16 Recommendations CS Health provides a written response to MSAC. Recommends

MSAC conduct an impact assessment before deciding on Recommendations MSAC (Regulator, MEU, AWU, NSWMC and Independent Experts) unanimously endorse the 16 Recommendations Regulator publishes the Report on the Regulator Website MSAC Review Implementation Working Group meetings commence

Independent Impact Analysis – transitional arrangements proposed and endorsed by Working Group.

MSAC Review Implementation Working Group Impact Analysis

- Increased demand on medical resources e.g. 12% workers will require CLFT
- Psychological / Psychosocial impact for workers going through the clinical pathway

Coal Services

- Reduced exposure limits (restrictions) for 1,500 workers e.g. 5% workforce
- Greatest impact will be on IMD exposure limit

A transitional exposure limit has been proposed by Working Group

• Increased cost to insurance scheme, when suitable duties cannot be offered



Standing Health Committee

Standing Health Committee Representation



Coal Services	Mining & Energy Union	Contractors	Reserve Positions (as required)
Chris Catchpole (Chairman) CS Health	Shane Thompson Northern Region	Belinda Boon Daracon	Professor Deborah Yates Respiratory Physician
Emma McNamara (Secretariat) CS Health	Andy Davey South-Western Region	Adam Hallinan Bolt-up Mining	Expert input to Respiratory Health Standard
Dr David Meredith CS Health			
Monique Roberts	NSW Minerals Council	Independents	
CS Health Ricky Aldana Coal Mines Insurance Cindy James Coal Services, Order 34	Two positions vacant	Dr Johann Lenffer External Doctor Network Annabelle Williams Primary Health Network	

Standing Health Committee Industry Health Standards

- 1. Respiratory
- 2. Cardiovascular
- 3. Sleep Disorders
- 4. Diabetes
- 5. Hearing
- 6. Vision
- 7. Medication and other substance use
- 8. Mental Health
- 9. Neurological conditions
- 10. Blackouts
- 11. Whole body vibration
- 12. Musculoskeletal





Development of NSW coal mining industry health standards





*The transitional inhalable mine dust thresholds will be discussed with the NSW Resources Regulator and MSAC Steering Committee for endorsement.



The evolution of health surveillance in the NSW coal industry

Evolution of health surveillance in the NSW coal industry










Review of Coal Services Health Monitoring Requirements for Coal Mine Workers Order No. 43

Review of Coal Services Health Monitoring Requirements for Coal Mine Workers Order No. 43



- 1. Medical Framework
- 2. Medical frequency
- 3. Medical risk profile
- 4. Approved Medical Practitioner Governance
- 5. Medical disclosures
- 6. Medical reviews
- 7. Exit medicals
- 8. Respirator Fit Testing
- 9. Medical Assessment Delays
- 10. Coal Mine Worker Lists
- 11. Approved Health Professionals

Industry Consultation with Stakeholders
completed in 2023

Draft Order Prototype developed

Stakeholder feedback

Review of Coal Services Health Monitoring Requirements for Coal Mine Workers Order No. 43



Phase One - Industry Consultation with Stakeholders completed in 2023

Draft Order Prototype completed

- Present Draft to Coal Services Board (February 2024) for endorsement
- Phase Two Industry Consultation (March 2024)
- Collate Feedback and Revise Draft (April / May 2024)
- Impact Analysis and Revise Draft Order (May / June 2024)
- Present Draft to Board for Review and Phase 3 Consultation Approval
- Phase Three Closed Consultation (NSWMC and MEU)
- Review feedback and present final Order to Board for approval
- Present Order to Minister for sign off (timeframe at discretion of Minister)
- Industry Communication and Education (Q3 and Q4 2024)
- Go live with Order (1 January 2025)

Broader Industry Consultation	
NSW MC and MEU Submissions	
Impact Analysis	
Revise Draft	
Phase 3 – Closed Consultation	



Managing lung disease in the NSW coal industry

Session overview



1	Coal Mine Dust Lung Disease
2	Timeline
3	Investigation pathway
4	Management
5	Respiratory Health Standard



Coal Mine Dust Lung Disease (CMDLD)





- Coal Workers Pneumoconiosis
- Silicosis
- Mixed dust disease
- Dust-related diffuse fibrosis
- Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease
- Emphysema
- Chronic bronchitis

Timeline for CMDLD Clinical Pathway



Black lung dise re-identified i Queenslanc	TSANZ ease statem in surveill I AS e	releases a po ent on respir ance for CMI xposed work	osition atory D and re ers	MSAC Revie eport deliver (February)	RSH clir ed	HQ releases nical pathwa dust lung o	s new ay for disease	
2015	2017	2020	2022	2023	2023	2023	2023	
CMDLD Collaborative Group delivers a clinical pathway to the Queensland Government		CRS QId public Returning work of th mine dust diseases to the workplace"	MS ishes kers lung ne	SAC accepts Re recommendatio (March)	eview (ns	CSH directed to implementatio recommenda	o begir n of all tions	



Investigation Pathway

Clinical Pathways









Roles and responsibilities

- Order 43 doctor identify abnormalities and refer
- RP diagnose and determine cause
- CIT and O43 doctors determine fitness for work and restrictions
- GP manage any identified lung conditions

Clinical Pathways in application





Process



CS Health arranges testing for existing and retired NSW coal miners

Findings are discussed with the miner

Meetings with employers





Management Pathways

Recommendation 14:

Establish formal criteria to return workers with early CMDLD or other nonoccupational lung diseases to work, or removal from exposure for those with more advanced disease

Management guidelines



Review of the New South Wales Health Surveillance Scheme for Coal Mine Workers

Page 41:

"While the review team recognises that the respiratory physicians did not diagnose coal mine dust-related lung diseases in these cases, this approach does not account for the need to preserve the workers' remaining lung function."

Page 42:

"Regardless of the putative underlying cause(s) of a worker's lung disease, the severity of lung function abnormalities should, by themselves, prompt consideration for reducing future dust exposure."

Formal Criteria





- The review identified only one guideline globally dealing with x-ray and lung function abnormalities.
- Developed by an expert panel or respiratory physicians and occupational Physicians
- Based on evidence from a large literature review



Criteria to manage workers with abnormalities on chest x-ray:



- Restrictions vary but aim to reduce exposure to dust / exclude from dust exposure
- Requires enhanced dust monitoring and periodic review of work tasks
- Requires at least annual respiratory review, HRCT, CLFT

Lung Function Abnormalities



Criteria to manage workers with abnormal spirometry:



- Restrictions vary but aim to reduce exposure to dust / exclude from dust exposure
- Requires enhanced dust monitoring and periodic review of work tasks
- Requires at least annual respiratory review and CLFT

Management Terminology

Certificate

Determination Coal Mine Worker can continue to carry out the work? Answer YES Comments Fit to continue work with specified remedial measures.

Remedial measures required? Answer YES

Comments

- Should aim, as far as reasonably practicable, to comply with reduced 8-hour time weighted average exposure level of < 0.5mg/m3 RCD, < 0.025mg/m3 RCS and < 1.25mg/m3 IMD.
- 2. Should be part of enhanced dust monitoring with periodic review of work tasks.
- 3. Requires enhanced medical surveillance with annual lung health monitoring.

Any test results indicating a disease, illness or injury as a result of carrying out the work? Answer YES Comments

COPD/Emphysema

Medical counselling required? Answer NO

Follow-up Review Type - Medical review and spirometry boxes selected

Review Time - 12 months

Follow-up Comments Medical review with CLFT in 12 months.

Is the Employer required to take any action as part of the follow-up? Answer YES Actions Review of dust exposure levels and work tasks as specified.

Ensure worker attends for follow up lung health surveillance as specified.





Respiratory Health Standard

What is a Health Standard?



Practical, risk-based assessment tools for making fitness for work medical determinations based on job role requirements and assessed risk
Defines level of medical fitness, and medical criteria for various body systems based on relative risk
Details follow-up requirements and medical management plan to control / manage / minimise risk
Assists to identify job accommodations or adjustments to ensure individuals can work safely and effectively
Involves process of risk stratification based on risk assessments

Benefits of Coal Industry Health Standards



Equity and balance between restrictions and denial of work opportunities

Reduces ambiguity, requests for unnecessary investigations and reports Consistency, transparency and fairness in Order 43 determinations

Reduces need for consensus determinations and medical panels

Removes arbitrary boundaries and determinations

Reduces costs, delays, unnecessary testing for workers, operational issues for employers

Considerations for the development of industry health standards







E	
	7
jõ	1

Coal mine workers are exposed to diverse physical conditions, workloads, and hazards A health standard must consider:

- health conditions
- hazards
- Sudden incapacity

Health standards are common across many industries

Respiratory Standard





- Remote work
- Use of BA
- Dust exposure
- Isocyanate use
- Other respiratory hazards
- Underground work



Coal Mines Insurance



Dust Disease Claims

Dust diseases or lung claims



Diseases of gradual process caused by employment

Definition under Section 4 of the Workers Compensation Act 1987 (1987 Act):

- A disease contracted by a worker in the course of employment to which employment was a contributing factor
- Can also be an **aggravation**, **acceleration**, **exacerbation** or **deterioration** of a disease

The liability test Employment **must** be "a contributing factor"

A dust disease

Is a respiratory disorder caused by repeated inhalation of respirable coal dust over a period of years.

FAQ – lodging a claim



How does a worker make a claim?

For a claim to be duly made, CMI requires:

- Worker's Injury Notification Form
- SIRA Certificate of Capacity

Can a worker claim workers compensation if they cannot work while waiting for further tests?

Yes, workers can claim at any time however weekly compensation will not commence until a determination on liability has been made.

If a worker's Order 43 medical assessment prevents them from working, can they make a claim for workers compensation?

Yes, workers can claim at any time however CMI still requires the claim to be duly made with medical evidence supporting connection between their dust disease and employment.

FAQ – claim process



What is the process once a claim is made?

A Specialist Case Manager will:

- Contact the worker to discuss the claim process
- Obtain the worker's authority and request the worker's file from CS Health
- Obtain information from the employer such as dust monitoring reports and duties performed by the worker
- An Independent Medical Examination with a Respiratory Physician will be arranged, and all information gathered will be sent to the Medical Examiner

What other information will CMI need from the worker?

- A statement from the worker that details their employment history
- Details of the worker's doctors, scans, and current treatment
- Information from the worker's treating doctors

FAQ – determination of liability



How long will it take CMI to make a decision to commence weekly payments?

- 21 days unless there is a reasonable excuse not to commence payment
- Decision made at 42 days whether to accept or decline.
- Limited availability of suitably qualified respiratory specialists may delay the decision beyond 42 days.

How does CMI assess whether the worker's lung condition is work-related?

After careful consideration of all available information including information pertaining to diagnosis and attributability to employment, a Specialist Case Manager will assess the worker's claim and make a determination on liability.

The claims process





Additional considerations



- Same decision-making timeframes as general claims
- Dust disease claims registered against the CMI workers compensation nominal defendant – not the policyholder
- Dust disease claims not premium impacting
- Dust monitoring will be requested from the employer and sent to the respiratory physician doing the assessment
- Potential regulatory reporting obligations
- Information is required from all previous employers (not just the last employer)

Additional considerations



- Dust disease claims- registered against the CMI workers compensation nominal defendant – not the policyholder
- Dust disease claims not directly premium impacting
- Dust monitoring will be requested from the employer and sent to the respiratory physician doing the assessment
- Potential regulatory reporting obligations
- Information is required from all previous employers (not just the last employer)
- Return to Work obstacles

